M1230-08-52

Quality assessment of Polysorbates 80 and 20 Pharmaceutical Raw Materials by Measuring Fatty Acids **Composition using HPLC with Mass Detection**

Margaret Maziarz, Paul Rainville Waters Corporation, 34 Maple Street, Milford MA

CONTACT INFORMATION: Margaret Maziarz@waters.com, Paul Ranville@waters.com

PURPOSE

Polysorbates are non-ionic surfactants widely used as excipients or inactive ingredients in many pharmaceutical products^{1,2}. To assure safety of the finished drug products, the quality and purity of excipients must be assessed using suitable and reliable test methods. A gas chromatography (GC) with flame ionization detector (FID) procedures for the polysorbate 80 and 20 based on the fatty acids composition are recommended^{3,4}. These procedures require hydrolysis and derivatization of the polysorbates to free fatty acids.

In this work, simple and fast HPLC-mass spectrometry (MS) methods were developed for the determination of fatty acids composition in the polysorbates 80 and 20 by direct analysis of the hydrolyzed samples.

METHODS

Standard solutions preparation

Individual fatty acid standard solutions were prepared in ethanol at 1 mg/mL. The stock standard solutions were diluted with water/ethanol diluent (50:50, v/v) to make two separate standard mixtures containing free fatty acids specified by the USP in polysorbates 80 and 80 monographs^{3,4}, respectively.

Sample solutions preparation

Polysorbates 80 and 20 test samples were hydrolyzed with 1 M potassium hydroxide solution by incubation at 6 hours at 40°C, neutralized with equal volume of 1 M formic acid, and diluted with water/ethanol (50:50, v/v) to 0.1 mg/mL.

HPLC method

 Chromatographic separation was performed using XBridge[™] BEH^{\mathbb{M}} C₁₈ (4.6 x 100 mm, 3.5 µm) column, operated at 60°C, run on Arc[™] HPLC System. The mobile phase consisting of 10 mM ammonium acetate in water (A) and acetonitrile solvent (B) was delivered under gradient elution with a flow rate of 2 mL/min. Isopropyl alcohol (C) was used to wash the system between injections.

	Time (min)	%A	%B	%C	Cur	ve
	Initial	60.0	40.0	0.0	6	
Gradient for	1.00	60.0	40.0	0.0	6	
polysorbate 80 analysis	14.00	20.0	80.0	0.0	6	
	14.10	0.0	50.0	50.0	6	
	16.00	0.0	50.0	50.0	6	
	16.10	60.0	40.0	0.0	6	
	20.00	60.0	40.0	0.0	6	
	Time (min)	%A	0	ώВ	Curve	
	Initial	95.0	5	5.0	6	
Gradient for	1.00	95.0	5	5.0	6	
polysorbate 20 analysis	1.10	60.0	4	0.0	6	
	14.00	5.0	9	5.0	6	
	16.00	5.0	9	5.0	6	
	16.10	95.0	5	5.0	6	
	20.00	95.0	5	5.0	6	
MS Detection						

• ACQUITY[™] QDa[™] Detector

• Isocratic solvent manager (ISM) make-up (dilution) solvent was added post-column and mixed with the flow entering the source to enhance the MS signal.

Ionization mode:	Electrospray negative (ESI-)
MS Acquisition:	range: 75 – 350 m/z, Single Ion Recording (SIR) for quantitation
Probe temp.: 600	°C; Capillary Voltage: 0.5 kV, Cone Voltage: 10 V

ISM makeup solvent: 50:50 water/acetonitrile with 1 mM ammonium acetate Flow rate: 0.2 mL/min, with 10:1 split and dilute ratio

RESULTS

Fatty acids in polysorbates

The United States Pharmacopeia (USP) procedure for analysis of polysorbate 80 and 20 is based on the fatty acids composition using GC-FID instrumentation^{3,4}.

Fatty acids in polysorbate 80 according to the USP monograph ³		Fatty a according	cids in po to the U	olysorbate 20 SP monograph⁴	
Acid	C:D *	Monoisotopic mass (Da)	Acid	C:D *	Monoisotopic mass (Da)
Myristic	14:0	228.21	Caproic	6:0	116.08
Palmitic	16:0	256.24	Caprylic	8:0	144.11
Palmitoleic	16:1	254.22	Capric	10:0	172.14
Stearic	18:0	284.27	Lauric	12:0	200.11
Oleic	18.1	282.26	Myristic	14:0	228.21
	10.1	202.20	Palmitic	16:0	256.24
	10.2	200.24	Stearic	18:0	284.27
Linolenic	18:3	278.22	Oleic	18:1	282.26
			Linoleic	18:2	280.24

* C:D - carbon to carbon chain length: number of double bonds



Sample preparation study

Different reaction media were investigated during the study to ensure complete extraction of all fatty acids from the polysorbate test samples. Hydrolysis with base released most free fatty acids. The 1 M KOH media was chosen for preparation of all samples tested in this work.



Herein, the HPLC-MS method developed in this work successfully separated all the USP-specified fatty acids for polysorbates 80 and 20.

Figure 1. Separation of the USP-specified fatty acids in polysorbates 80 and 20. Mass detection.

Analysis of polysorbate test samples

Identification of unknown peaks Analysis of the polysorbate 80 sample (batch 1) revealed presence of unknown peaks with the same m/z values as the linoleic (18:2) and ((18:1) acids of 279.2 and 281.3, respectively. Identity of the unknown peaks was verified via retention times and accurate mass determination accurate mass accurate mass determination accurate mass accurate mass accurate mass determination accurate mass comparison with the reference isomers standards (purchased from Nu-Chek Prep. Inc.). The analysis was performed using a Xevo™ G2-Mass Spectrometer coupled to a UPLC™ system. For UPLC separation, the HPLC conditions were scaled to a 1.7 µm particle size colum 2.1 x 150 mm dimension.





Figure 3. Identity verification of a peak with m/z 279 using Xevo G2-XS QTof Mass Spectrometer.

Determination of fatty acids composition Composition or the percent (%) of each fatty acids in the polysorbate test samples was determined by comparing peak area of each fatty acid to the total area of all fatty acids found in the chromatographic injection. Calculations performed using Empower™ Software following the USP monographs^{3,4}.

For polysorbate 80 analysis, calculations included the USPspecified fatty acids found in the test samples and the additional isomers detected by the new HPLC-MS method. Results met the USP criteria limits for the specified fatty acids.

Polysorb Batch 3	ate 80 sar	nple ^{Faimitoleic}	Conjugated Palmitc	> Elaidic
0.00 2.00	4.00	6.00 8.00 Minutes	10.00	12.00 14.00
Fatty acid	% Acid Batch 1	% Acid Batch 2	% Acid Batch 3	USP Criteria ³
Myristic	0.1	0.5	ND	NMT 5.0%
Linolenic	ND	ND	ND	NMT 4.0%
Palmitoleic	1.2	1.1	1.0	NMT 8.0%
Linoleic	0.2	ND	ND	NMT 18.0%
Conjugated Δ 9, 11; Δ 10, 12	11.5	12.2	11.6	N/A
Palmitic	11.4	4.2	4.3	NMT 16.0%
Cis-vaccenic	1.1	ND	ND	N/A
Oleic	70.6	79.2	79. 8	NLT 58.0%
Elaidic	1.9	1.3	2.0	N/A
Stearic	2.0	1.7	1.1	NMT 6.0%

Pharm Sci 360

a ana atra of unknown naaka in th

olysorbate 80 sam	ple	eaks in the		
PS 80 - 3933 Polysorb_201 3111 (19.947) Cm (3 279.231	9 6.37e4	PS 80 - 3933 Polysorb_201 3163 (20.283	l) Cm (3163:3168-2 279.2328	981 2986) 8.17e4
0 100 150 200 250 30	m.m/z 00 350	0 150 200	250 300	

Accurate mass determination

Peak in PS 80 (min.)	Theoretical mass	Calculated mass	Mass accuracy
20.02	279.2319	279.2324	- 0.5 mDa
20.21	279.2328	279.2324	0.4 mDa
20.21	279.2328	279.2324	0.4 mDa

Unknown peak identified as mixture of conjugated linoleic acid isomers (Δ 9, 11; Δ 10, 12)

For peak with m/z 281, the analysis showed presence of two positional isomers of oleic acid, eluting before and after the oleic peak These compounds were identified as cis-vaccenic and elaidic acids.



Figure 4. Identity verification of a peak with m/z 281 using Xevo G2-XS QTof Mass Spectrometer.

Composition of fatty acids in the polysorbate 20 sample solutions met the USP criteria.





ks	in	the	

Elaidic acid

200	25	50	300	350

culated	Mass
nass	accuracy
31.2481	0.6 mDa
31.2481	0.3 mDa

CONCLUSION(S)

- The developed HPLC-MS method offers fast quality assessment of the polysorbates 80 and 20 pharmaceutical raw materials by measuring fatty acids composition in hydrolyzed samples
- Direct injection of hydrolyzed samples eliminates the need for a complex sample pretreatment procedure required for analysis by GC.
- Easy and accurate identification of fatty acids by mass detection using mass spectral data from an **ACQUITY QDa Detector.**
- Integrated with a compliant-ready Empower Software, suitable for routine QC testing
- HPLC-MS method separates additional fatty acids not listed in the GC-FID procedure for polysorbate 80 recommended by the USP (USP–NF 2021 Issue 1).
- The QTof mass spectrometer enables accurate identity verification of unknown peaks.

REFERENCES

- 1. Martos A, Koch W, Jiskoot W, Wuchner K, Winter G, Friess W, Hawe A. Trends on Analytical Characterization of Polysorbates Their Degradation Products in Biopharmaceutical Formulations. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2017, 1722-1735:106.
- 2. Wang Z, Wang Y, Tie C, Zhang J. A Fast Strategy for Profiling and Identifying Pharmaceutical Excipient Polysorbates by Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography coupled to High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A, 2021, 460450:1609
- 3. USP Monograph for Polysorbate 80, United States Pharmacopoeia, USP–NF 2021 Issue 1. The United States Pharmacopoeia Convention, Official 01-May-2020.
- 4. USP Monograph for Polysorbate 20, United States Pharmacopoeia, USP–NF 2021 Issue 1. The United States Pharmacopoeia Convention, Official 01-May-2020



XBridge, BEH, Arc, ACQUITY, QDa, Xevo, UPLC, and Empower are trademarks of Waters **Technologies** Corporation