

# Method for Direct Analysis of Contaminants in Surface Waters With High Accuracy and Precision Using an Agilent 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System

# **Application Note**

Environmental, Surface Water, Personal Care Products (PPCPs)

# Abstract

Using the Agilent 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System, 28 surface water contaminant standards including selected Personal Care Products (PPCPs), sucralose, 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D), Atrazine, Diuron, Diazinon, and N,N-Diethyl-meta-toluamide (DEET), were accurately quantified at low-ng/L levels in surface water with excellent reproducibility and precision. Enhanced system performance enabled direct injection of water samples onto the LC/MS system to minimize sample preparation requirements.

# Authors

Jian-Zhong Li and Craig Marvin Agilent Technologies, Inc. Santa Clara, CA

Michael Thurman and Imma Ferrer University of Colorado, Boulder CO



### Introduction

The presence of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) and other contaminants in surface waters is an important quality concern for the scientific community and governmental regulatory agencies. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), PPCPs are products used by individuals for health and cosmetic purposes, and products used by agribusiness to enhance the growth and health of livestock. They comprise a very diverse collection of compounds, including prescription and over-the-counter therapeutic drugs, veterinary drugs, fragrances, and cosmetics [1]. EPA Method 1694 was established for the determination of PPCPs in aqueous, solid, and bio-solid environmental samples using high performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC/MS/MS) [2].

In the EU, the European Water Framework Directive governs chemical pollution of surface waters under Directive 2013/39/EU [3], Directive 2008/105/EC [4], and Decision 2455/2001/EC, which establishes the list of priority substances [5]. Commission Directive 2009/90/EC provides the technical specifications for the chemical analysis and monitoring of water status [6].

Although further research is necessary, there is growing concern that contaminants in surface waters may have an adverse impact on wildlife and humans, even at very low (ng/L) concentrations. For example, sucralose is a popular artificial sweetener used worldwide that ends up in waste and surface waters because it is not metabolized *in vivo*. Current wastewater treatment technologies do not address sucralose, so it has become ubiquitous in the environment. Studies have revealed biological effects that may have important toxicological consequences for aquatic organisms exposed to sucralose [7].

Steroid hormones that act as endocrine disruptors are also of interest. Research suggests that the compound ethinylestradiol, used in commonly prescribed contraceptives, can cause endocrine disruption in aquatic organisms and amphibians in concentrations as low as 1 ng/L [8].

The analysis of these diverse sets of contaminants at low ng/L levels poses significant analytical challenges. Sample enrichment using solid phase extraction (SPE) is often required prior to LC/MS/MS (LC/TQ) analysis. However, SPE involves large sample quantities, high solvent consumption, laborious procedures, and potential matrix effects. Because of these challenges, this application note explores a

method for the direct analysis of 28 selected contaminants including PPCPs in water at low-ng/L levels using an Agilent 1290 Infinity II UHPLC coupled to an Agilent 6470A triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with Agilent Jet Stream (AJS) technology. LC/TQ performance, including sensitivity, accuracy, and precision, was evaluated.

## **Experimental**

#### Standards, samples, and sample preparation

Calibration standards of 28 selected PPCPs and other contaminants commonly present in surface waters were spiked in ultrapure water obtained from a Milli-Q Integral system at 16 concentration levels ranging from 0.1–5,000 ng/L. Table 3 lists the analyzed standards. Matrix samples consisted of surface water samples collected from Colorado's Poudre and Big Thompson River's. The samples were obtained courtesy of the University of Colorado, Boulder. Prior to sample analysis, all samples were filtered through a 0.22  $\mu$ m syringe filter and aliquoted to a 2.0-mL sample vial for analysis.

### LC/TQ analysis

LC/TQ analyses were performed using a 1290 Infinity II UHPLC system coupled to a 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS system equipped with an AJS ion source. Tables 1 and 2 give the UHPLC and mass spectrometer conditions used. After filtering, 40  $\mu$ L of the spiked water samples were directly injected into the LC/TQ system for analysis.

Compounds were detected using MRM's in both positive and negative ionization modes. Table 3 presents the optimized MRM transitions and operating parameters for each contaminant measured. Two transitions were monitored for each compound (one quantifier and one qualifier ion) with the exception of Gemfibrozil. This compound is a therapeutic drug administered for treatment of hyperlipoproteinemia and hyperchloesterolemia [9]. Due to matrix interferences, only one selective transition was monitored; m/z 249  $\rightarrow m/z$  121 (2,5-dimethylphenol functionality), which agrees with 5990-6431EN methodology.

MS1 and MS2 resolutions were set to Wide and Unit respectively, except for Triclopyr. It was analyzed at the MS1 resolution setting of Widest, and the MS2 resolution setting of Wide. These resolution settings can be used to improve detectability if the MRM transition is not negatively impacted by chemical noise. Detection limits were measured based on the presence of both quantifier and qualifier ions.

#### Table 1. UHPLC Conditions

#### Table 2. Mass Spectrometer Conditions

Parameter	Value			
Column	Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse Plus RRHD C18, 2.1 × 50 mm (p/n 959741-902)			
Column temperature	35 °C			
Injection volume	40 µL			
Autosampler temperature	4 °C			
Needle wash	15 seconds (80 % MEOH/20 % water)			
Mobile phase	A) Water with 0.03 % formic acid B) Acetonitrile			
Flow rate	0.4 mL/min			
Gradient	Time B%   0.0 10   1.7 10   10.0 100   10.3 100   Post time 4 minutes			

Parameter	Value
lon mode	Positive/Negative
Drying gas temperature	250 °C
Drying gas flow	7 L/min
Sheath gas temperature	370 °C
Sheath gas flow	11 L/min
Nebulizer pressure	40 psi
Capillary voltage	2,500 V (pos)/3,000 V (neg)
Nozzle voltage	0 V (pos)/300 V (neg)
Delta EMV	400 (pos/neg)

Table 3. MRM Transitions and Operating Parameters for Each Contaminant Measured

Compound	MRM transition ( <i>m/z</i> )	Fragmentor voltage	Collision energy	Polarity	Compound	MRM transition ( <i>m/z</i> )	Fragmentor voltage	Collision energy	Polarity
Acetaminophen	152 → 110 152 → 65	90	18 35	+	Erythromycin	734.5 → 576 734.5 → 158	150	20 35	+
Atenolol	267 → 190 267 → 145	110	20 30	+	Fluridone	330 → 310 330 → 294	110	35 55	+
Atrazine	216 → 174 216 → 146	120	20 25	+	Gabapentin	172 → 154 172 → 137	90	15	+
Bupropion	240 → 184 240 → 166	80	12 20	+	Lamotrigine	258 → 213 256 → 211	120	30	+
Caffeine	195 → 138 195 → 110	110	20 28	+	Metoprolol	268 → 116 268 → 56	110	20 33	+
Carbamazepine	237 → 194 237 → 179	120	20 40	+	Propranolol $260 \rightarrow 116$ $260 \rightarrow 56$		110	20 33	+
Clarithromycin	748.5 → 590 748.5 → 158	110	20 28	+	Sucralose	419 → 239 419 → 221	110	20	+
Cotinine	177 → 98 177 → 80	90	22 27	+	Sulfamethoxazole	254 → 156 254 → 92	80	15 30	+
DEET	192 → 119 192 → 91	110	20 35	+	Trimethoprim	291 → 261 291 → 230	110	28 25	+
Dextrorphan	258.1 → 201 258.1 → 133	120	25 35	+	Venlafaxine	278 → 260 278 → 58	90	10 20	+
Diazinon	305 → 169 305 → 153	90	20 23	+	2,4-D	219 → 161 221 → 163	70	13	-
Diltiazem	415 → 178	130	25	+	Gemfibrozil	249 → 121	70	12	-
Diphenhydramine	415 → 150 256 → 167	70	35 12	+	Triclopyr	256 → 198 254 → 196	70	10	_
Diuron	256 → 152 235 → 72 233 → 72	90	40 20	+	Triclosan	289 → 37 287 → 35	80	10	_

### **Results and Discussion**

The quantitative performance of the 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System using direct injection of water samples, including sensitivity, precision, accuracy, linearity, and dynamic range, was evaluated.

Figure 1 shows the TIC and overlaid MRM chromatogram of the 28 standards spiked in water at 10 ng/L.

The 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System provided sub-ng/L detection and reliable quantitation over a wide linear dynamic range. Table 4 shows the instrument-enabled quantification of the tested contaminants at sub- to low-ng/L lower limits of quantitation (LLOQs).



Figure 1. Overlaid MRM chromatograms of the 28 standards spiked in water at 10 ng/L.

Table 4. LLOQs and IDLs for the 28 Surface Water Contaminants

Compound	LLOQ (ng/L)	IDL* (ng/L)	Compound	LLOQ (ng/L)	IDL* (ng/L)	Compound	LLOQ (ng/L)	IDL* (ng/L)
Acetaminophen	0.5	0.12	Diazinon	0.5	0.071	Sucralose	20.0	3.56
Atenolol	1.0	0.45	Diltiazem	0.2	0.030	Sulfamethoxazole	1.0	0.41
Atrazine	0.5	0.28	Diphenhydramine	0.2	0.052	Trimethoprim	1.0	0.39
Bupropion	0.2	0.044	Diuron	1.0	0.28	Venlafaxine	0.5	0.076
Caffeine	1.0	0.25	Erythromycin	1.0	0.14	2,4-D	20.0	8.69
Carbamazepine	0.5	0.082	Fluridone	0.1	0.012	Gemfibrozil	20.0	11.5
Clarithromycin	5.0	1.14	Gabapentin	5.0	1.05	Triclopyr	50.0	16.3
Cotinine	0.5	0.068	Lamotrigine	2.0	0.94	Triclosan	20.0	5.32
DEET	0.2	0.022	Metoprolol	1.0	0.29			
Dextrorphan	1.0	0.15	Propranolol	1.0	0.091			

\* Five replicate injections were used to calculate IDL values.

With values of  $R^2 > 0.995$ , the resultant calibration curves showed excellent linearity over four orders of dynamic range. Figure 2A shows the calibration curve of cotinine, a representative example. Precision and accuracy were evaluated at 12 standard concentrations ranging from the LLOQ at 0.1 ng/L (ppt) to the upper limit of quantitation (ULOQ) at 2 µg/L (ppb), and were calculated using five replicate injections at each level (Figure 2B). Excellent assay precision (%RSD < 20 % at LLOQ and <15 % for other levels) and average accuracy (80–120 % at LLOQ and 85–115 % for other levels) were well within the method validation criteria set by EPA Method 1694.



Figure 2. Cotinine example of linear dynamic range achieved. The table shows that area precision (%RSD) and accuracy were calculated at 13 standard concentrations.

Figure 3 shows that the assay precision at the 2 ng/L concentration level obtained using the 6470A Triple Quadruple LC/MS System generally exceeded that obtained using a similarly configured 6460 Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System. The improved area precision (%RSD) produced by the 6470A Triple Quadruple LC/MS System was particularly evident at low concentration levels, demonstrating the exceptional sensitivity of the new system.

Variation in signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) results from variation in baseline noise, leading to uncertainty in determining the actual performance of the instrument being tested. For this reason, performance based on area response precision gives a much clearer indication of sensitivity and performance when assessing quantitative applications. In particular, the %RSD for peak area at low concentrations can be used as an indirect measure of the relative number of ions in a chromatographic peak, and provides a more universal measure of sensitivity. Thus, the %RSD for peak area at low concentrations was used to calculate the instrument detection limit (IDL).

The IDL was defined as:

 $IDL_{ICMS} = t \times \%RSD \times amount measured/100$ 

t = student t-value, for the 99 % confidence level with n - 1 degrees of freedom (n = replicate injections)

%RSD = relative standard deviation (precision of signal response at the amount measured, from n replicate injections)



Figure 3. Comparison of assay precision (area %RSD, n = 5) obtained at 5 ng/L using the Agilent 6470A (blue) and Agilent 6460 (red) Triple Quadruple LC/MS Systems.

Table 4 presents IDLs obtained for the 28 surface water contaminants. Five replicate injections were used to calculate IDL values for these analytes. Design enhancements to the 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System led to improvements in response, generating lower area %RSDs as compared to previous designs. The superior analytical performance of the 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System is attributable to a number of design improvements which include: redesigned ion optics, improved curved and tapered collision cell, advanced detector operating at dynode accelerating voltages of up to ±20 kV, and an autotune optimized for greater speed and sensitivity.

Figure 4 shows a representative example of how the sensitivity of the 6470A Triple quadrupole LC/MS system enabled streamlining the analytical workflow by using direct injection of a surface water sample, rather than SPE enrichment, while still achieving the LLOQ at 0.2 ng/L.



Figure 4. Agilent 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System response for bupropion spiked into surface water. A) 40 μL direct injection of 0.2 ng/L bupropion. B) 10 μL injection of a sample extract SPE enriched 200 times.

## Conclusion

Twenty-eight water contaminant standards including selected PPCPs, sucralose, 2,4-D, Atrazine, Diuron, Diazinon, and DEET, were accurately quantified at low-ng/L levels with excellent assay reproducibility and precision using the Agilent 6470A Triple Quadrupole LC/MS System. The method achieved four orders of linear dynamic range, excellent assay precision (%RSD <20 % at LLOQ and <15 % for other levels), as well as average accuracy (80–120 % at LLOQ and 85–115 % for other levels), meeting the method validation criteria set by EPA Method 1694. The improved detection limits enabled reliable quantitation of contaminants in surface water samples at sub-ng levels using direct injection into the LC/MS system, minimizing the need for sample enrichment.

# References

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) in Water. [Online] http://www.epa.gov/ppcp/ (accessed October 26, 2015).
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Method 1694: Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in Water, Soil, Sediment, and Biosolids by HPLC/MS/MS. December 2007. [Online] http://water.epa.gov/scitech/methods/ cwa/bioindicators/upload/2008\_01\_03\_methods\_ method\_1694.pdf (accessed October 26, 2015).
- 3. DIRECTIVE 2013/39/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy. Official Journal of the European Union. [Online] http://eur-lex. europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:320 13L0039&from=EN (accessed October 27, 2015).
- 4. DIRECTIVE 2008/105/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/ EEC, 84/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Official Journal of the European Union. [Online] http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/ ?uri=CELEX:32008L0105&from=EN (accessed October 27, 2015).

- DECISION No 2455/2001/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 20 November 2001 establishing the list of priority substances in the field of water policy and amending Directive 2000/60/ EC. Official Journal of the European Union. [Online] http://ec.europa.eu/environment/ecolabel/documents/ prioritysubstances.pdf (accessed October 27, 2015).
- COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2009/90/EC of 31 July 2009laying down, pursuant to Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, technical specifications for chemical analysis and monitoring of water status. Official Journal of the European Union. [Online] http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ TXT/?uri=CELEX:32009L0090 (accessed October 27, 2015).
- 7. A. K. E Wiklund, *et al.* "Sucralose An ecotoxicological challenger?" *Chemosphere* **86**, 50–55 (2012).
- 8. C. G. Daughton. "Pharmaceuticals as Environmental Pollutants: the Ramifications for Human Exposure". *International Encyclopedia of Public Health* **5**, 66–102 (2008).
- L. S. Goodman, A. Gilman. The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 9th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996, pp. 893-895.

# For More Information

These data represent typical results. For more information on our products and services, visit our Web site at www.agilent.com/chem.

#### www.agilent.com

For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures.

This information is subject to change without notice.

© Agilent Technologies, Inc., 2016 Printed in the USA September 13, 2016 5991-7259EN



**Agilent Technologies**