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## Introduction

A new on-line method for simultaneous identification and monitoring of antioxidants in Fructus aurantii was established by coupling high performance liquid chromatography-diode array detector-electrospray ionisation-ion trap-time of flight-mass spectrometry with post-column derivatisation and luminol-potassium ferricyanide chemiluminescence
(HPLC-DAD-ESI-IT-TOF-MS-PCD-LPFCL). The HPLC fingerprint, structural identification and radical scavenging profile were rapidly obtained by an on-line system using
ultraviolet (UV) absorption, MS and LPFCL. Details of the precise substitution patterns of various structures were achieved through UV absorption shift using PCD.
Twenty-six flavonoids were identified by either their PCD and MS data or comparison with reference substances. The results showed that this method was rapid and precise, and therefore would be an effective and sensitive method for bioactive components analysis and quality evaluation for complex medicinal samples.

## Experimental

## Sample preparation

1.0 g of $F$. aurantii powder ( 60 mesh) was accurately weighed and extracted with 50 mL methanol in an ultrasonic water bath for 30 min .

## HPLC conditions

Column: Diamonsil C 18 column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d.; $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
Oven temperature: $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Wavelength range: $200-400 \mathrm{~nm}$
A: ACN+0.02\%FA (\%, v/v)
B: Water+0.02\% FA (\%, v/v)
Table 1 Gradient Program

Injection volumn: $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Flow rate: $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$

## Post Column Derivisation system solutions

Table2 Experimental conditions for the post column addition of UV shift reagents

| Shift reagent | Pump 1 | Flow $1(\mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}-1)$ | Pump 2 | Flow 2 $(\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{min}-1)$ | pH | Temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NaOH}^{a}$ | 0.8 | $\mathrm{AlCl}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.8 | 5.0 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{NaOH}^{a}$ | 0.8 | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{HCl}$ | 0.8 | 3.5 | 90 |
| NaOAC | $\mathrm{NaOH}^{a}$ | 0.8 | $\mathrm{NaOAc}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.8 | 8.0 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{NaOAC} / \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NaOH}^{a}$ | 0.8 | $\mathrm{NaOAC}^{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{HBO}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.8 | 6.0 | 50 |

a. $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaOH}$ aqueous solution
b. $0.3 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ aqueous solution; c. $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaOAc}$ aqueous solution;
d. $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaOAc} / 0.7 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}=1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$

Post column derivisation techniques were used to give additional structural information, such as the linkage of
sugar moieties, free phenolic groups, by inducing a shift of the UV absorption maxima of compounds.
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## ESI-IT-TOF-MS analysis

The MSn experiments were performed by using a LCMS-IT-TOF system (Shimadzu Corporation) equipped with an ESI source. All of the MSn data were acquired in both positive and negative ion modes, CDL temperature
$200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, heat block temperature $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The collision energies for each compound ranged from 20-50\%. Spectra were acquired over a mass range of $m / z$ 100-800.


Fig. 2 Schematic representation of the LCMS-IT-TOF


Fig. 3 HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS-PCD-LPFCL detection apparatus (pumps E and F were only used during PCD analysis; T: T-piece).
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## LPFCL detection method

Free radical in vivo like superoxide radicals ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.') $^{-}$) are known to be involve in various disease processes. Natural compounds possessing free radical scavenging properties were considered to be safe antioxidant agents for prevention and treatment of those diseases. Luminol-potassium ferricyanide chemiluminesence (LPFCL) involving a superoxide radical mechanism were thus considered to evaluate radical scavenging activity of plant extracts or individual compounds. A on-line system was
developed (Fig. 3) to screen the potential antioxidants in plant extracts and identify their structures.
One quarter of the eluate stream ( $0.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) was added to a mixed solution of luminol ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ $(0.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$ at a T -piece, then immediately introduced into a reaction coil ( $10 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) maintained at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ throughout the detection. The mixture finally arrived at a fluorescence spectrophotometer for recording the intensity of emission light at 425 nm .

## Results and discussion
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Table3 UV shift data

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RT } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | UV spectra |  | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{HCl}$ |  | NaOAc |  | $\mathrm{NaOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | II | I | II | 1 | II | 1 | 11 | 1 | II | 1 |
| 1 | 20.32 | 271 | 334 | 300 | 379 | 301 | 378 | 282 | 333 | 281 | 343 |
| 2 | 20.96 | 275 | 339 | 281 | 352 | 278 | 378 | 284 | 371 | 296 | 369 |
| 3 | 21.77 | 284 | 332 | 306 | 374 | 305 | 381 | 284 | 363 | 284 | 347 |
| 4 | 24.78 | 284 | 325 | 302 | 375 | 301 | 377 | 285 | 361 | 283 | 325 |
| 5 | 25.11 | 265 | 351 | 276 | 392 | 275 | 376 | 272 | 372 | 266 | 389 |
| 6 | 25.43 | 284 | 325 | 302 | 372 | 303 | 370 | 288 | 350 | 282 | 325 |
| 7 | 26.29 | 276 | 330 | 276 | 324 | 275 | 370 | 281 | 364 | 279 | 325 |
| 8 | 27.67 | 273 | 325 | 278 | 325 | 275 | 370 | 278 | 365 | 279 | 325 |
| 9 | 27.06 | 285 | 330 | 302 | 376 | 304 | 370 | 287 | 349 | 283 | 389 |
| 10 | 28.21 | 283 | 329 | 303 | 376 | 303 | 376 | 284 | 356 | 282 | 325 |
| 11 | 29.00 | 282 | 328 | 303 | 376 | 303 | 376 | 284 | 356 | 283 | 329 |
| 12 | 29.52 | 284 | 326 | 302 | 376 | 301 | 376 | 285 | 356 | 283 | 327 |
| 13 | 30.17 | 283 | 326 | 302 | 375 | 301 | 374 | 285 | 356 | 283 | 325 |
| 14 | 30.96 | 275 | 342 | 303 | 374 | 304 | 374 | 274 | - | 278 | 388 |
| 15 | 32.09 | 282 | 326 | 282 | 324 | 304 | 370 | 282 | 354 | 281 | 332 |
| 16 | 33.16 | 282 | 330 | 303 | 366 | 301 | 370 | 282 | 360 | 282 | 325 |
| 17 | 33.60 | 282 | 330 | 303 | 373 | 301 | 376 | 282 | 360 | 282 | 331 |
| 18 | 35.49 | 287 | 324 | 305 | 370 | 307 | 367 | 324 | - | 281 | 325 |
| 19 | 36.95 | 284 | 324 | 308 | 375 | 311 | 367 | 319 | - | 286 | 324 |
| 20 | 37.85 | 271 | 336 | 270 | 331 | 270 | 330 | 270 | 332 | 270 | 334 |
| 21 | 38.94 | 276 | 324 | 275 | 324 | 273 | 324 | - | 325 | 275 | 324 |
| 22 | 39.45 | 278 | 324 | 277 | 325 | 273 | 330 | 272 | 330 | - | 325 |
| 23 | 41.45 | 270 | 333 | 269 | 336 | 269 | 335 | 269 | 336 | 269 | 336 |
| 24 | 43.22 | 254 | 343 | 255 | 344 | 254 | 344 | 254 | 344 | 254 | 342 |
| 25 | 44.43 | 271 | 323 | 269 | 326 | 270 | 324 | 270 | 324 | 269 | 325 |
| 26 | 45.35 | 281 | 341 | 289 | 354 | 289 | 355 | 288 | - | 280 | 345 |

Table4 MS ${ }^{n}$ data and identified results

A variety of bioactive flavonoids were separated and detected by the on-line system. A number of peaks displayed their antioxidative ability in the corresponding inhibition profile (See Fig. 4 chromatogram C).

Multi-stage MS analysis were performed in both positive and negative modes. Based on the MSn data and UV shift information (Table 3), 26 compounds were identified (See Table 4).

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RT } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | Compound Name | (+)ESI-MSn data (Observed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20.32 | 6,8-Di-C-glucopyranocylapigenin | $595.1626 \rightarrow 577.1532 \rightarrow 457.1126$ |
| 2 | 20.96 | 6,8-Di-C-glucopyranocyldiosmetin | $625.1751 \rightarrow 607.1669 \rightarrow 487.1220$ |
| 3 | 21.77 | Naringenin -7-O-triglycoside | $743.2382 \rightarrow 581.1871 \rightarrow 273.0840$ |
| 4 | 24.78 | Eriocitrin | $597.1817 \rightarrow 289.0772 \rightarrow$ |
| 5 | 25.11 | Rutin | $611.1623 \rightarrow 465.0877 \rightarrow 303.0487$ |
| 6 | 25.43 | Neoeriocitrin | $597.1817 \rightarrow 289.0772 \rightarrow$ |
| 7 | 26.29 | Isovitexin | $433.1190 \rightarrow 397.0895 \rightarrow 283.0635$ |
| 8 | 27.67 | 3' -Methoxyl isovitexin | $463.1277 \rightarrow 397.0973 \rightarrow 313.0698$ |
| 9 | 27.06 | Naringenin-7-O-sophorose | $597.1855 \rightarrow 435.1314 \rightarrow 273.0794$ |
| 10 | 28.21 | Narirutin | $581.1841 \rightarrow 419.1372 \rightarrow 273.0774$ |
| 11 | 29.00 | Naringin | $581.1851 \rightarrow 419.1388 \rightarrow 273.0792$ |
| 12 | 29.52 | Hesperidin | $611.1957 \rightarrow 449.1494 \rightarrow 303.0900$ |
| 13 | 30.17 | Neohesperidin | $611.1952 \rightarrow 449.1444 \rightarrow 303.0875$ |
| 14 | 30.96 | Neohesperidin | $653.1718 \rightarrow 347.0767 \rightarrow 332.0532$ |
| 15 | 32.09 | 7-0-6" - Malonylnaringin | $667.1808 \rightarrow 521.1370 \rightarrow 359.1181$ |
| 16 | 33.16 | Poncirin | $595.2099 \rightarrow 433.1513 \rightarrow 287.0937$ |
| 17 | 33.60 | Neoponcirin | $595.2090 \rightarrow 433.1496 \rightarrow 287.0947$ |
| 18 | 35.49 | Naringenin | $273.0761 \rightarrow 147.0457 \rightarrow$ |
| 19 | 36.95 | Hesperitin | $303.0870 \rightarrow 177.0566 \rightarrow 145.0322$ |
| 20 | 37.85 | Isosinensetin | $373.1287 \rightarrow 343.0818 \rightarrow 163.0759$ |
| 21 | 38.94 | Gossypetin hexamethyl | $403.1393 \rightarrow 373.1287 \rightarrow 358.0689$ |
| 22 | 39.45 | Auranetin | $373.1287 \rightarrow 343.0818 \rightarrow 163.0759$ |
| 23 | 41.45 | Nobiletin | $403.1393 \rightarrow 373.1287 \rightarrow 358.0689$ |
| 24 | 43.22 | 3', ${ }^{\prime}$ ' , 3,5,6,7,8-Hexa-methoxyflavone | $433.1499 \rightarrow 403.1393 \rightarrow 373.1287$ |
| 25 | 44.43 | Tangeritin | $373.1287 \rightarrow 343.0818 \rightarrow 168.0059$ |
| 26 | 45.35 | 7-Hydroxyl-4' , 3,5,6,8-Pentamethoxyflavone | $389.1236 \rightarrow 359.0767 \rightarrow 341.0661$ |
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## Conclusions

An on-line system based on the combination of HPLC, MS, PCD and LPFCL was established and investigated to screen and identify multiple active constituents in Fructus Aurantii.
This method was rapid and effective for screening and identification of antioxidative compounds with superoxide scavenging activity in complicated herbal extracts and thus it can offer a potential approach for components analysis and quality control.


[^0]:    *3.0 $\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ luminol solution (containing $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ EDTA, pH 13.0)
    *3.0 $\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K} 3 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})$ s solution ( pH 13.0 )
    *Temp. room temperature

